

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/975,663	10/10/2001	Kun-Tsang Kuo	67,200-465	2709
	75	590 04/10/2003			
	TUNG & ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER		
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ANDERSON,	ANDERSON, GERALD A	
		IS, MI 48302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3637	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/975,663 KUO, KUN-TSANG Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** JERRY A ANDERSON 3637 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1)🛛 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2003. 2a)□ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,10,11,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-8,10,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:



Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10, 11, 13, 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Farrell et al. Farrell is cited showing a double-sided rack system having tracks 22 supporting storage units 32 with shelves 16 and partitions 18 to form cells and a drive mechanism, see col. 5, lines 48-50. Farrell discloses that commercial mobile storage systems are typically made from metal. It is inherent that a metal shelving system would be grounded. The recitation of a newly discovered function or property inherently possessed by things in the prior art does not cause a claim drawn to these things to distinguish over the prior art. The PTO may require the applicant to prove that the prior art does not possess the characteristics relied on. In re Swinehart and Sfiligoj 169 USPQ 226 (1971).

Rejections - 35 USC § 103



Art Unit: 3637

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 4, 6-8, 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrell et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Spitzer et al. Farrell fails to show sloped shelves. Spitzer is cited disclosing a mobile rack shelves 125 sloped to prevent cargo spillage. The angle of the shelves is considered an obvious matter of design choice for one having an ordinary skill in the art. Since the references are from the same field of endeavor the purpose of Spitzer would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Farrell at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Farrell with shelves sloped to prevent cargo spillage in view of Spitzer.

This action is not final.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,663

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa April 7, 2003

GERALD A. ANDERSON PATENT EXAMINER